Original Paper

# **Mapping and identification of a** *Cicer arietinum NSP2* **gene involved in nodulation pathway**

**L. Ali · E. Madrid · R. K. Varshney · S. Azam · T. Millan · J. Rubio · J. Gil**

Received: 14 March 2013 / Accepted: 31 October 2013 / Published online: 19 November 2013 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

## **Abstract**

*Key message* **For the first time the putative** *NSP2* **gene in chickpea has been identified using pairs of NILs differing for the** *Rn1***/***rn1* **nodulation gene that was located in LG5 of chickpea genetic map.**

*Abstract* An intraspecific cross between the mutant non-nodulating genotype PM233, carrying the recessive gene *rn1*, and the wild-type CA2139 was used to develop two pairs of near-isogenic lines (NILs) for nodulation in chickpea. These pairs of NILs were characterized using sequence tagged microsatellite site (STMS) markers distributed across different linkage groups (LGs) of the chickpea genetic map leading to the detection of polymorphic markers located in LG5. Using this information, together with the genome annotation in *Medicago truncatula*, a candidate gene (*NSP2*) known to be involved in nodulation pathway was selected for mapping in chickpea. The full length sequence obtained in chickpea wild-type

Communicated by A. E. Melchinger.

L. Ali  $(\boxtimes)$  · T. Millan · J. Gil Dpto Genética, Univ Córdoba, Campus de excelencia internacional CeiA3, Campus de Rabanales, 14071 Córdoba, Spain e-mail: alatifa23bhr@yahoo.com

E. Madrid Institute for Sustainable Agriculture, CSIC, 4084, 14080 Córdoba, Spain

R. K. Varshney · S. Azam International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India

### J. Rubio

Área de Mejora y Biotecnología, IFAPA Centro "Alameda del Obispo", Apdo 3092, 14080 Córdoba, Spain

 $(CaNSP2)$  was 1,503 bp. Linkage analysis in an  $F_3$  population of 118 plants derived from the cross between the pair of NILS NIL7-2A (nod)  $\times$  NIL7-2B (non-nod) revealed a co-localization between *CaNSP2* and *Rn1* gene. These data implicate the *CaNSP2* gene as a candidate for identity to *Rn1*, and suggest that it could act in the nodulation signaling transduction pathway similarly to that in other legumes species.

## **Introduction**

The legume, chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a rotation crop that improves soil organic content and fertility by increasing the positive N balance. For example, chickpea improved N levels by 38 % in the chickpea–wheat rotation (Aslam et al. [2003\)](#page-6-0). Legumes form specialized organs called nodules in which the symbiotic *Rhizobium* bacteria are able to fix atmospheric nitrogen. The symbiotic interaction between the chickpea host and *Rhizobium* is controlled by plant signals that activate nodulation (Nod) factors that act as signaling molecules for initiating nodule development (Fisher and Long [1992](#page-7-0); Long [1996](#page-7-1)). In *Medicago truncatula*, genes have been reported that are involved in both the development of nodules (nodulin genes) and the perception/transduction of the Nod factor signal before induction of nodulin genes (Pichon et al. [1992](#page-7-2); Asad et al. [1994](#page-6-1); Crespi et al. [1994;](#page-6-2) Cook et al. [1995;](#page-6-3) Vernoud et al. [1999](#page-7-3); Catoira et al. [2000](#page-6-4); Oldroyd and Long [2003\)](#page-7-4).

In chickpea, the second most cultivated grain legume in the world (FAOSTAT [2013](#page-7-5)), there have been no reports of molecular studies of the nodulation pathway. On the other hand, seven nodulation mutants have been described: PM233, PM665, PM679, PM405, PM796 and ICC435M (Davis et al. [1985](#page-7-6), [1986;](#page-7-7) Davis [1988;](#page-7-8) Singh et al. [1992\)](#page-7-9)

carrying unlinked recessive mutant genes *rn1*–*rn6*, respectively, and PM638 with one dominant gene (*Rn7*) that is only linked to *rn1* (Paruvangada and Davis [1999](#page-7-10)). The phenotypes of some of these mutants have been grouped into the following categories: (1) stable non-nodulating (PM233), (2) temperature-dependent non-nodulating (PM665 and PM679) or (3) ineffective nodulating (PM405, PM796 and PM638). To our knowledge, the function of these genes (nodulin or induction genes) is unknown in chickpea.

The extensive conservation of gene order between the model plant *M. truncatula* and chickpea could be useful in identifying orthologous genes facilitating the transfer of genetic information between the two species (Seres et al. [2007](#page-7-11)). In addition, correspondence between linkage groups (LGs) of the chickpea genetic map with *M. truncatula* chromosomes has been established by synteny analysis (Millan et al. [2010](#page-7-12); Nayak et al. [2010;](#page-7-13) Varshney et al. [2013](#page-7-14)). Consequently, the localization of the nodulation-related genes from *M. truncatula* on the chickpea genetic map could help with identifying and characterizing these genes in chickpea and, in turn, our understanding of the nodulation signaling pathway in this species.

To facilitate the mapping and identification of a gene of interest, several different approaches could be used. One of these involves the development of near-isogenic lines (NILs). This approach is advantageous for small target regions of the genome that segregates and genetic background noise can be eliminated. Thus, it is easier to localize the genomic region that controls the trait of interest (Oh et al. [2011](#page-7-15)).

The objective of this research was to develop chickpea NILs for nodulation using the non-nodulating mutant PM233 and use them to locate *rn1* on the chickpea genetic map. In addition, the gene sequence was characterized by synteny analysis using the available information in *M. truncatula*.

# **Materials and methods**

# Plant material and *Rhizobium* inoculation

A cross between PM233, a non-nodulating mutant Desi type (Davis et al. [1985](#page-7-6)), and CA2139, a nodulating Spanish Kabuli type landrace, was used to develop a pair of NILs (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). Seeds of non-nod mutant PM233 were supplied by the International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) genebank.

To develop pairs of NILs,  $28 \text{ F}_2$  plants were inoculated with a suspension of *Mesorhizobium* sp. (isolates ISC7 and ISC11) kindly provided by Dr. F. Temprano (IFAPA, Spain) at a concentration of  $4 \times 10^8$  rhizobia/g. The seeds were treated with 1 g of the suspension per 100 g of chickpea seeds and 10 % sucrose and then sown in individual



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Scheme for pair of NILs (nod/non-nod) development following residual heterozygosity selection by Pedigree method

pots under controlled conditions (glasshouse). Each  $F_{2:3}$ progeny from an  $F_2$  nodulating plant was sown in a single row of a naturally (*Rhizobium*) infected field.  $F_{2:3}$  were advanced to  $F_{7:8}$  selecting nod plants in rows that segregated for the trait. Finally, residual heterozygosity present in  $F_7$  plants made it possible to select individual nod and non-nod plants to obtain two pairs of NILs following the scheme (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) described previously by Rajesh et al. [\(2002](#page-7-16)). Descendent of plants homozygous for nod and nonnod was harvested separately from two different  $F_{7.8}$  families and was designated as NIL6-1A (nod)/NIL6-1B (nonnod) and NIL7-2A (nod)/NIL7-2B (non-nod).

An  $F_3$  population ( $n = 118$ ), derived by single-seed descent from the segregant  $F_2$  obtained by the cross between the pair of NILs, NIL7-2A (nod)  $\times$  NIL7-2B (non-nod), was used to establish the nod/non-nod inheritance ratio and perform linkage analysis.  $F<sub>2</sub>$  was sown in a cage in fertile soil.  $F_3$  plants were phenotyped for the presence or absence of nodules, 40 days after sowing in a greenhouse and inoculated with *Mesorhizobium* as described above.

# DNA extraction and molecular characterization of NILs

Genomic DNA was extracted from 100 mg of young leaf tissue using the DNAzol method (Invitrogen, Carlsbad,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** STMS markers used for genotyping parental lines (CA2139, PM233) and NILs (NIL6-1A/NIL6-1B and NIL7-2A/NIL7-2B)

Linkage group Marker	
LG1	GA11, STMS12, STMS21, TA1, TA8, TA30, TA113, TA <sub>203</sub>
LG2	GA16, H1H011, TA59, TA110, TA194, TA200, TR <sub>19</sub>
LG3	GA13, STMS5, STMS10, TA34, TA125, TA142, <b>TS19</b>
LG4	GAA47, STMS11, STMS24, TA2, TA61, TA130, <b>TA186, TR11</b>
LG5	GA4. TA5 <sup>a</sup> . TA11 <sup>a</sup> . TR29. TR59 <sup>a</sup>
LG6	GA21, STMS2, TA14, TA21, TA80, TA106, TR1
LG7	STMS6, TA78, TA117
LG8	GAA46, TS12, TS45

<sup>a</sup> Polymorphic markers in bold

CA, USA). Forty-two sequence tagged microsatellite site (STMS) markers distributed across different LGs of the chickpea genetic map were chosen to detect polymorphisms (Table [1](#page-2-0)). Marker analysis was performed according to Winter et al. [\(1999](#page-7-17)) and Lichtenzveig et al. ([2005\)](#page-7-18). PCR products were separated either in 2.5 % agarose (a mixture of agarose 1.25 % SeaKem LE and 1.25 % LM SIEVE, Rockland, ME, USA) in  $1 \times$  TBE buffer, or 10 % non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels, and stained with ethidium bromide. STMS that required higher resolution was visualized by automatic capillary sequencer (ABI3130 Genetic Analyzer, Applied Biosystems/HITACHI, Madrid, Spain) in the Central Research Support Service (SCAI) at the University of Córdoba. The data points were analyzed using Genotyper 3.7 software from Applied Biosystems for polymorphisms.

### Candidate sequence characterization

Using the genetic information available for *M. truncatula*, a nodulation signaling pathway 2 (*NSP2*) gene (MTR  $3g072710$ ) (Oldroyd and Long  $2003$ ) was selected to be amplified in chickpea. Using Primer 3 software [\(http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/\)](http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/) (Rozen and Skaletsky [1999](#page-7-19)), the primers were designed at different positions with the aim of amplifying the complete gene sequence in chickpea. Six different primer combinations (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-1) were tested in genomic DNA of each parental line (CA2139 and PM233).

PCR reactions were carried out in 10 μl reaction volume containing 30 ng of plant genomic DNA,  $1 \times$  buffer



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Fig. 2 a** Diagram of sequence alignment between *Cicer arietinum* and *Medicago truncatula* of *NSP2* gene. The unique exon in the gene is shown. The location of primers designed in *M. truncatula* is indicated by *arrows*. **b** Sequence of primers designed in *M. truncatula NSP2* gene to be amplified in chickpea genomic DNA (line CA2139)

(50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris–HCl, and 0.1 % Triton X-100), 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.4 mM of dNTPs, 0.4  $\mu$ M of each primer and 0.05 units of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Promega). PCR conditions included an initial DNA denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min and 40 cycles comprising 94  $\degree$ C for 30 s, 50  $\degree$ C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1.5 min, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products were separated in 10 % non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels and stained with ethidium bromide. High-quality amplicons were excised from polyacrylamide gel by adding 25 μl of distilled water, purified and cloned in the pGEM-T Vector System I (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA). Three different clones were sequenced using vector based primer pairs. The sequence fragments obtained were assembled into a single contig using Geneious Pro v4.7.6 Software (Drummond et al. [2010](#page-7-20)).

To confirm absence of bands in dominant markers, the universal primers ITSL and ITS4 (Štajner et al. [2002\)](#page-7-21) were used as positive controls. Multiple PCR were performed using the primer combination,  $NSP2-L2 + NSP2-R1$ (Table [1](#page-2-0)) (0.5  $\mu$ M) and ITS (0.05  $\mu$ M) in 10  $\mu$ l of reaction mix following the same conditions described above for *NSP2* amplification.

## Linkage analysis

Linkage analysis was performed using the JoinMap v4.0 regression function (Van Ooijen [2006](#page-7-22)). A minimum LOD score threshold of 3 and a maximum recombination fraction of 0.25 were applied as general linkage criteria for grouping markers. Kosambi's function [\(1944](#page-7-23)) was applied to estimate map distance.

# Linkage with the physical map

To compare both genetic and physical map, the primers of three polymorphic STMS markers (TR59, TA5 and TA11) between NILs were amplified in silico from the chickpea genome assembly (Varshney et al. [2013](#page-7-14)) with in-house script (Kalendar et al. [2009\)](#page-7-24). The amplified fragment of *NSP2* and *LYK9* genes from *M. truncatula* was mapped to the chickpea genome using the BLASTN (NCBI) program, which resulted in two putative genes, annotated as *CaNSP2* and Ca*LYK9*.

## Phylogenetic analysis

The evolutionary relationship of the *CaNSP2* sequence obtained in chickpea with related genes from other species available from the GenBank was assessed using the Neighbor-Joining method (Saitou and Nei [1987](#page-7-25)), based on the deduced amino acid sequences and considering the species *Pinus sylvestris* as the out group. The confidence in the different nodes was calculated using 1,000 bootstrap replications and expressed as a percentage. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method (Zuckercandl and Pauling [1965\)](#page-7-26). All positions containing gaps were eliminated from the dataset (complete deletion option). Phylogenetic analyses were conducted in MEGA4 (Tamura et al. [2007](#page-7-27)).

# **Results**

Two segregating  $F_{7:8}$  families were chosen to select pairs of NILs differing for nodulation/non-nodulation. Finally, two pairs of NILs [NIL6-1A (nod)/NIL6-1B (non-nod) and NIL7-2A (nod)/NIL7-2B (non-nod)] were selected.

The molecular characterization of these pairs of NILs showed that NIL6-1A/1B and NIL7-2A/2B have the same amplification patterns using STMS markers. The NILs were monomorphic for all markers across the chickpea genetic map except for three that were located in LG5 (TA5, TA11 and TR59) (Table [1](#page-2-0)). To analyze the association between polymorphic STMS and the phenotype observed, DNA was amplified from 20  $F_3$  individuals derived from the cross NIL7-2A  $\times$  NIL7-2B that differed for nodulation (10 nod/10 non-nod). Results revealed that all non-nod  $F_3$ plants were monomorphic for the same allele of non-nod parent NIL7-2B for markers TA5 (208 bp), TA11 (242 bp) and TR59 (190 bp), indicating their association with nonnodulation. These results suggest that the *Rn1*/*rn1* gene is located in LG5 of the chickpea genetic map.

### Chickpea *Rn1*/*rn1* identification

As the nodulation pathway has been well studied in *M. truncatula*, we explored the syntenic relationship between *Medicago* and chickpea. Since LG5 of the chickpea genetic map corresponds to *Medicago* chromosome 3 (Millan et al. [2010](#page-7-12); Nayak et al. [2010;](#page-7-13) Varshney et al. [2013](#page-7-14)), we investigated chromosome 3 of the model species for nodulationrelated genes. Two putative genes for nodulation were found on *Medicago* chromosome 3; the transcription factor *NSP2* (MTR\_3g072710) and lysine motif (LysM)-receptorlike kinases *LYK9* (MtD04912) (Oldroyd and Long [2003](#page-7-4); Arrighi et al. [2006](#page-6-5)). Using the information obtained about *Rhizobium* infection process in PM233 (Matthews and Davis [1990](#page-7-28)), the transcription factor *NSP2* was selected as a candidate gene responsible for the non-nodulating phenotype in this mutant.

To isolate this sequence from the chickpea genome, six different primer combinations designed in *M. truncatula* gene were tested in each of the chickpea parents (PM233 and CA2139) and NILs to amplify the gene sequence (Fig. [2](#page-2-1)). Only one combination (NSP2-L2 and NSP2-R1)



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Phylogenetic tree of 18 amino acid sequences of GRAS family protein in different plant species. Numbers at branch nodes represent bootstrap values out of 1,000 replicates. *Pinus sylvestris* was considered as the out group. Accession numbers appear at the end of genus names

produced a high-quality amplicon. The amplicon was only present in CA2139, NIL6-1A and NIL7-2A (nod), whereas it was absent in PM233, NIL6-1B and NIL7-2B (non-nod). The sequence length obtained in CA2139 was 1,067 bp, covering the gene except for 353 bp at the 5′ end. To obtain the complete gene sequence, BLAST analysis was performed against the draft genome sequence recently obtained from the chickpea variety CDC-Frontier (Varshney et al. [2013\)](#page-7-14). The alignment showed that the homologous sequence was annotated as Ca\_26279 gene in the pseudomolecule 5 at the position 1,280,031–1,281,533 in the negative strand. This annotated *NSP2* gene in chickpea consists of a 1,503-bp exon with no introns. The sequence has been deposited in the GenBank ([http://www.ncbi.nlm.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) [nih.gov/\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) database and assigned the accession number KC534503 for chickpea genotype CA2139 and, therefore, the gene in chickpea has been designated as *CaNSP2*.

The sequence of the gene (*CaNSP2*) obtained showed high similarity to that reported for *NSP2* in other legumes based on BLASTN analysis. The predicted coding region indicated that *CaNSP2* has the highest level of identity with the *Pisum sativum* (86 %) and the *M. truncatula* (85 %) homologous genes. The deduced amino acid sequence of *CaNSP2* contains 501 residues, with a molecular weight of 55.270 kDa. The *Pfam* domain search identified 377 (residue 117–493) residues of the sequence that are highly conserved, and belong to the domain of GRAS family transcription factor (PF 03514). As expected, the predicted coding region encodes a protein belonging to the plant-specific GRAS family of putative transcription factors. BLASTX analysis showed that the putative CaNSP2 sequence had highest similarity with *P. sativum* (89 %) and *M. truncatula* (87 %) with most of the variations concentrated at the N-terminal region. The three proteins showed high similarity at these conserved regions, LHRI, LHRII, VHIID, PFYRE and SAW, of the GRAS domain, similar to that demonstrated between *P. sativum* and *M. truncatula* (Kalo et al. [2005](#page-7-29)).

Phylogenetic associations based on 18 GRAS family protein sequences belonging to 9 different families indicated that all legume species were grouped together. The NSP2 protein sequences of *M. truncatula* and *P. sativum* were the closest to CaNSP2 with *Arabidopsis thaliana* group (Brassicaceae) being distant from the legumes group (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0).

## *NSP2* and *Rn1*/*rn1* linkage analysis

Linkage analysis was performed using the  $F_3$  population  $(n = 118)$  obtained by single-seed descent method. All  $F_3$ individuals were genotyped with three STMS markers as well as the *CaNSP2* gene and phenotyped for presence or absence of nodules (*Rn1*/*rn1* locus). Both the gene marker and the *Rn1*/*rn1* locus showed distorted segregation being coincident with presence of band/nod (89 plants) and absence of band/non-nod (29 plants). That is different from the expected ratio 5:3 ( $P < 0.01$ ) for a F<sub>3</sub> population. As expected, linkage analysis in  $F_3$  revealed that *CaNSP2* and *Rn1*/*rn1* were co-located in LG5 of the chickpea genetic map (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)). All the individuals presenting nodules had two amplified fragments presents in the PCR products corresponding to 1,067-bp of *NSP2* and 750-bp of ITS, that



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Comparison between genetic and physical map of chickpea LG5. On the *right*, genetic LG5 including the phenotypic evaluation of nod vs. non-nod in the  $F_3$  population derived from the cross between two near-isogenic lines (NIL7-2A  $\times$  NIL7-2B). On the *left*, the physical map including CaNSP2, TA11 and putative LYK9 gene located in Ca5 (LG5) showing the distance in base pair (bp). *Asterisk* the estimated position of putative *LYK9* gene in genetic map was calculated considering the physical distance among *CaNSP2*, TA11 and putative *LYK9* as reference

was used as positive control. In contrast, non-nodulating individuals had only one amplified fragment that corre-sponds to the ITS control (Fig. [5](#page-5-1)).

## Physical map analysis

Out of the three STMS markers, only TA11 could be amplified in silico without any mismatches in primer sequences and was found to be located in the *C. arietinum* pseudomolecule 5 (Ca5). *M. truncatula LYK9* had the highest homology (92 %) with the annotated gene Ca\_07575 located from 40,561,827 to 40,568,297 bp in the positive strand of Ca5. The two putative genes *CaNSP2* and *LYK9*

were located in opposite sites of the chromosome flanking the STMS marker TA11 (Fig. [4\)](#page-5-0). The physical position of both genes and the TA11 marker was used to estimate the position of the putative *LYK9* locus in chickpea LG5, located 42 cM away from *nod/non*-*nod* locus (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)).

## **Discussion**

Pairs of NILs for a given trait have the advantage that they differ for a target region and are almost identical in the rest of the genome. They have been used for fine mapping in rice (Oh et al. [2011](#page-7-15)) and expression studies in wheat and oilseed rape (Baek and Skinner [2003;](#page-6-6) Manickavelu et al. [2010](#page-7-30)). In chickpea, NILs for single/double pods were used to locate the double-podding gene (*s*) in LG6 of the chickpea genetic map (Rajesh et al. [2002](#page-7-16)). In this study, we report for the first time, pairs of NILs developed for nod vs. non-nod in chickpea. These NILs have proven to be very useful for localizing the *rn1* gene present in the PM233 mutant to LG5 of the chickpea genetic map and characterizing it using genomics and syntenic information from the model species *M. truncatula*.

Previous research on nodulation in chickpea was based on classical genetics (Paruvangada and Davis [1999](#page-7-10)). The molecular characterization of two pairs of NILs [NIL6-1A (nod)/NIL6-1B (non-nod) and NIL7-2A (nod)/NIL7-2B (non-nod)] using STMS markers allowed us to discover polymorphic markers in LG5, syntenic to *M. truncatula* chromosome 3 (Millan et al. [2010](#page-7-12); Nayak et al. [2010](#page-7-13); Varshney et al. [2013\)](#page-7-14). In chromosome 3 of *M. truncatula,* two genes *LYK9* and *NSP2* involved in the nodulation pathway have been annotated. Of which *LYK9* is a receptor from the lysine motif (*LysM*)-domain-containing receptor-like kinase family. In *M. truncatula*, Nod factors are perceived for a LysM receptor-like kinase protein of epidermal cells (Arrighi et al. [2006](#page-6-5)). *NSP2* in *M. truncatula* is an intronless gene, encoding a GRAS family protein with a conserved GRAS domain and a variable N-terminal region (Kalo et al. [2005](#page-7-29)). Functional studies in *M. truncatula* have shown that



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Agarose gel showing the segregation of the polymorphic marker developed to amplify the locus *CaNSP2* in chickpea using ten  $F_3$  nodulating (1,067 bp) and ten non-nod (non-amplification)

individuals. To confirm absence of bands the universal primers ITSL and ITS4 were used as positive controls (750 bp). Lane M: molecular weight marker Hyperladder II with molecular weight in bp

*NSP2* is involved in Nod factor signaling (Oldroyd and Long [2003;](#page-7-4) Kalo et al. [2005](#page-7-29)). The recessive allele of the *NSP2* gene blocks nodulin gene expression down-stream of calcium spiking, showing a complete absence of infection and cortical cell division following *Mesorhizobium* inoculation (Oldroyd and Long [2003\)](#page-7-4).

In chickpea, the rhizobial infection process was blocked in PM233 mutant at a stage subsequent to root hair adsorption of bacteria, but prior to initiation of infection threads and root cortical cell division (Matthews and Davis [1990](#page-7-28)). This shows that the *NSP2* gene is possibly homologous to the *rn1* gene in the chickpea. *LYK9* was not selected as candidate because this gene acts as the Nod factor receptor to enable bacterial infection (Arrighi et al. [2006](#page-6-5)) without showing any differences at the stage of infection, in both PM233 mutant and non-mutants. It has been demonstrated that the *NSP1*–*NSP2* complex binds directly to the promoters of early nodulin genes (*ENOD11*), and mutations found in the GRAS domain of either *NSP1* or *NSP2,* inhibit nodule production, thus highlighting the significance of the *NSP1*–*NSP2* complex for nodulation signaling (Hirsch et al. [2009\)](#page-7-31).

The recent sequencing of the chickpea genome (Varshney et al. [2013\)](#page-7-14) allowed us to obtain the complete *NSP2* gene sequence for comparative analysis. Our results showed high homology among chickpea, pea and *M. truncatula* in both at nucleic acid and amino acid level. Phylogenetic analysis of GRAS family proteins formed a separated group for the legumes of which *M. truncatula*, *P. sativu*m and *C. arietinum* showed the highest identity, in support with previous studies in *L. japonicus* (Murakami et al. [2007](#page-7-32)). These data suggest that the *CaNSP2* putative gene could function similarly to *M. truncatula NSP2*. Nevertheless, functional validation and characterization of *CaNSP2* in PM233 shall be required. Due to the grouping of the *NSP2* gene observed from the phylogenetic tree (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0), *NSP2* genes could play a different role in legumes and that could vary in other families. GRAS family proteins in other non-nodulating species have different functions and the genetic distance obtained with other plant species supported the functional differences. As reported in *A. thaliana*, the transcription factor SCARECROW is required for the asymmetric cell division of the initial cell in the generation of cortex and endodermis (Sabatini et al. [2003\)](#page-7-33).

Linkage analysis in the  $F_3$  population from study enabled us to map *CaNSP2* and *Rn1*–LG5 of the chickpea genetic map. The distortion of gene marker and phenotype evaluation toward the nodulating parent could be explained by a small attack of wilt at flowering time in the  $F<sub>2</sub>$  population causing loss of some non-nod plants. Nodulation has parallels with the processes of systemic acquired resistance (Durrant and Dong [2004](#page-7-34)). STMS markers chosen for characterization of NILs were distributed across different linkage groups and only the closest markers to the targeted region showed polymorphisms. Thus, this strategy proved to be valuable. The total coincidence of allele distribution in the phenotypic and genotypic evaluation with *CaNSP2* suggested that it is the candidate gene for *rn1* (Davis et al. [1985](#page-7-6)) that could play a central role in the nodulation signaling pathway in chickpea. However, as mentioned above, characterization of the gene in PM233 mutants is necessary to understand the nature of this mutation and to confirm whether *CaNSP2* function is similar to that reported in legume model plants (*M. truncatula* and *L. japonicus*). The nature of function loss associated with the *NSP2* mutation has only been studied in *M. truncatula*: two mutations, *nsp2*-*1*and *nsp2*-*2*, caused by deletions in the gene resulted in the absence of nodules (Kalo et al. [2005](#page-7-29)), and a third one (*nsp2*-*3*) results in a change in one amino acid and a reduction in the number of nodules (Catoira et al. [2000\)](#page-6-4).

**Acknowledgments** This work has been supported by the project INIA contract RTA2010-00059, co-financed by EU funds (FEDER). We are also indebted to Dr. F. Temprano (IFAPA, Spain) for his support with *Rhizobium* inoculations. Ali L acknowledges PhD fellowship from Syrian Ministry of High Education and ICRISAT for supporting 6 months stay at the Center of Excellence in Genomics, ICRISAT, Patancheru, India [\(http://www.icrisat.org/ceg\)](http://www.icrisat.org/ceg).

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-6-5"></span>Arrighi JF, Barre A, Ben Amor B, Bersoult A, Soriano LC, Mirabella R, de Carvalho-Niebel F, Journet EP, Ghérardi M, Huguet T, Geurts R, Dénarié J, Rougé P, Gough C (2006) The *Medicago truncatula* lysine motif-receptor-like kinase gene family includes NFP and new nodule-expressed genes. J Plant Physiol 142:265– 279. doi[:10.1104/pp.106.084657](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.084657)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>Asad S, Fang Y, Wycoff KL, Hirsch A (1994) Isolation and characterization of cDNA and genomic clones of MsENOD40; transcripts are detected in meristematic cells of alfalfa. Protoplasma 183:10– 23. doi[:10.1007/bf01276808](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01276808)
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>Aslam M, Mahmood IA, Peoples MB, Schwenke GD, Herridge DF (2003) Contribution of chickpea nitrogen fixation to increased wheat production and soil organic fertility in rain-fed cropping. Biol Fertil Soils 38:59–64. doi[:10.1007/s00374-003-0630-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00374-003-0630-5)
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>Baek KH, Skinner DZ (2003) Alteration of antioxidant enzyme gene expression during cold acclimation of near-isogenic wheat lines. Plant Sci 165:1221–1227. doi:[10.1016/S0168-9452\(03\)00329-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(03)00329-7)
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>Catoira R, Galera C, de Billy F, Penmetsa RV, Journet EP, Maillet F, Rosenberg C, Cook D, Gough C, Dénarié J (2000) Four genes of *Medicago truncatula* controlling components of a nod factor transduction pathway. Plant Cell 12:1647–1665. doi[:10.1105/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.12.9.1647) [tpc.12.9.1647](http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.12.9.1647)
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>Cook D, Dreyer D, Bonnet D, Howell M, Nony E, VandenBosch K (1995) Transient induction of a peroxidase gene in *Medicago truncatula* precedes infection by *Rhizobium meliloti*. Plant Cell 7:43–55. doi[:10.1105/tpc.7.1.43](http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.7.1.43)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>Crespi MD, Jurkevitch E, Poiret M, D'Aubenton-Carafa Y, Petrovics G, Kondorosi E, Kondorosi A (1994) Enod40, a gene expressed

during nodule organogenesis, codes for a non-translatable RNA involved in plant growth. EMBO J 13:5099–5112

- <span id="page-7-8"></span>Davis TM (1988) Two genes that confer ineffective nodulation in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). J Hered 79:476–478
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>Davis TM, Foster KW, Phillips DA (1985) Nodulation mutants in chickpea. Crop Sci 25:345–348. doi[:10.2135/cropsci1985.00111](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1985.0011183X002500020033x) [83X002500020033x](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1985.0011183X002500020033x)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>Davis TM, Foster KW, Phillips DA (1986) Inheritance and expression of three genes controlling root nodule formation in chickpea. Crop Sci 26:719–723. doi[:10.2135/cropsci1986.0011183X00260](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1986.0011183X002600040017x) [0040017x](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1986.0011183X002600040017x)
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>Drummond AJ, Ashton B, Cheung M, Heled J, Kearse M, Moir R, Stones-Havas S, Thierer T, Wilson A (2010) Geneious v5.0. Available from<http://www.geneious.com>
- <span id="page-7-34"></span>Durrant WE, Dong X (2004) Systemic acquired resistance. Annu Rev Phytopathol 42:185–209
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>FAOSTAT (2013)<http://faostat.fao.org>. Last update January 2013
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Fisher RF, Long SR (1992) *Rhizobium*–plant signal exchange. Nature 357:655–660
- <span id="page-7-31"></span>Hirsch S, Kim J, Munoz A, Heckmann AB, Downie JA, Oldroyd GED (2009) GRAS proteins form a DNA binding complex to induce gene expression during nodulation signaling in *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Cell 21:545–557. doi[:10.1105/tpc.108.064501](http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.108.064501)
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>Kalendar R, Lee D, Schulman AH (2009) FastPCR software for PCR primer and probe design and repeat search. Genes Genom Genomics 3:1–14
- <span id="page-7-29"></span>Kalo P, Gleason C, Edwards A, Marsh J, Mitra RM, Hirsch S, Jakab J, Sims S, Long SR, Rogers J, Kiss GB, Downie JA, Oldroyd GED (2005) Nodulation signaling in legumes requires NSP2, a member of the GRAS family of transcriptional regulators. Science 308:1786–1789. doi:[10.1126/science.1110951](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1110951)
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distance from recombination values. Ann Eugen 12:172–175. doi[:10.1111/j.1469-1809.1943.tb02321.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1809.1943.tb02321.x)
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>Lichtenzveig J, Scheuring C, Dodge J, Abbo S, Zhang HB (2005) Construction of BAC and BIBAC libraries and their applications for generation of SSR markers for genome analysis of chickpea, *Cicer arietinum* L. Theor Appl Genet 110:492–510. doi[:10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-004-1857-8) [s00122-004-1857-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-004-1857-8)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>Long SR (1996) *Rhizobium* symbiosis: nod factors in perspective. Plant Cell 8:1885–1898. doi[:10.1105/tpc.8.10.1885](http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.8.10.1885)
- <span id="page-7-30"></span>Manickavelu A, Kawaura K, Oishi K, Shin IT, Kohara Y, Yahiaoui N, Keller B, Suzuki A, Yano K, Ogihara Y (2010) Comparative gene expression analysis of susceptible and resistant near-isogenic lines in common wheat infected by *Puccinia triticina*. DNA Res 17:211–222. doi[:10.1093/dnares/dsq009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsq009)
- <span id="page-7-28"></span>Matthews LJ, Davis TM (1990) Anatomical comparison of wild-type and non-nodulating mutant chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). Can J Bot 68:1201–1207. doi[:10.1139/b90-152](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/b90-152)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>Millan T, Winter P, Jüngling R, Gil J, Rubio J, Cho S, Cobos MJ, Iruela M, Rajesh PN, Tekeoglu M, Kahl G, Muehlbauer FJ (2010) A consensus genetic map of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) based on 10 mapping populations. Euphytica 175:175–189. doi[:10.1007/s10681-010-0157-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-010-0157-4)
- <span id="page-7-32"></span>Murakami Y, Miwa H, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Kouchi H, Downie JA, Kawaguchi M, Kawasaki S (2007) Positional cloning identifies *Lotus japonicus* NSP2, a putative transcription factor of the GRAS family, required for NIN and ENOD40 gene expression in nodule initiation. DNA Res 13:255–265. doi:[10.1093/dnares/dsl017](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsl017)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>Nayak S, Zhu H, Varghese N, Datta S, Choi H-K, Horres R, Jüngling R, Singh J, Kavi Kishor PB, Sivaramakrishnan S, Hoisington D, Kahl G, Winter P, Cook D, Varshney R (2010) Integration of novel SSR and gene-based SNP marker loci in the chickpea genetic map and establishment of new anchor points with *Medicago truncatula* genome. Theor Appl Genet 120:1415–1441. doi[:10.1007/s00122-010-1265-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-010-1265-1)
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>Oh JM, Balkunde S, Yang P, Yoon DB, Ahn SN (2011) Fine mapping of grain weight QTL, tgw11 using near isogenic lines from a cross between *Oryza sativa* and *O. grandiglumis*. Genes Genom 33:259–265. doi[:10.1007/s13258-011-0038-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13258-011-0038-9)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>Oldroyd GED, Long SR (2003) Identification and characterization of nodulation-signaling pathway 2, a gene of *Medicago truncatula* involved in nod factor signaling. J Plant Physiol 131:1027–1032. doi[:10.1104/pp.102.010710](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.102.010710)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>Paruvangada V, Davis T (1999) A dominant, host plant mutation conferring ineffective nodulation in the chickpea–*Rhizobium* symbiosis. J Hered 90:297–299. doi:[10.1093/jhered/90.2.297](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jhered/90.2.297)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>Pichon M, Journet EP, Dedieu A, de Billy F, Truchet G, Barker DG (1992) *Rhizobium meliloti* elicits transient expression of the early nodulin gene ENOD12 in the differentiating root epidermis of transgenic alfalfa. Plant Cell 4:1199–1211. doi[:10.1105/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.4.10.1199) [tpc.4.10.1199](http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.4.10.1199)
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>Rajesh P, Tullu A, Gil J, Gupta V, Ranjekar P, Muehlbauer F (2002) Identification of an STMS marker for the double-podding gene in chickpea. Theor Appl Genet 105:604–607. doi[:10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-002-0930-4) [s00122-002-0930-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-002-0930-4)
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>Rozen S, Skaletsky H (1999) Bioinformatics methods and protocols. Methods in molecular biology™. In: Misener S, Krawetz S (eds) Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers, vol 132. Humana Press, New York, pp 365–386. doi[:10.1385/1-59259-192-2:365](http://dx.doi.org/10.1385/1-59259-192-2:365)
- <span id="page-7-33"></span>Sabatini S, Heidstra R, Wildwater M, Scheres B (2003) SCARE-CROW is involved in positioning the stem cell niche in the *Arabidopsis* root meristem. Genes Dev 17:354–358
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 4:406–425
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>Seres A, Deák G, Tóth G, Aubert G, Burstin J, Ellis N, Kiss GB (2007) Comparative mapping. In: Mathesius U, Journet EP, Sumner LW (eds) The *Medicago truncatula* handbook. ISBN 0-9754303-1-9.<http://www.noble.org/MedicagoHandbook/>
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>Singh O, Rheenen HAV, Rupela OP (1992) Inheritance of a new nonnodulation gene in chickpea. Crop Sci 32:41–43. doi[:10.2135/cro](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1992.0011183X003200010009x) [psci1992.0011183X003200010009x](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1992.0011183X003200010009x)
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>Štajner N, Bohanec B, Javornik B (2002) Genetic variability of economically important *Asparagus* species as revealed by genome size analysis and rDNA ITS polymorphisms. Plant Sci 162:931– 937. doi[:10.1016/S0168-9452\(02\)00039-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(02)00039-0)
- <span id="page-7-27"></span>Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S (2007) MEGA4: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 24:1596–1599. doi:[10.1093/molbev/msm092](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm092)
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>Van Ooijen JW (2006) MAPQIL® 5, software for the mapping of quantitative trait loci in experimental populations. Plant Research International, Wagenningen
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>Varshney RK, Song C, Saxena RK, Azam S et al (2013) Draft genome sequence of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) provides a resource for trait improvement. Nat Biotechnol. [http://www.nature.com/nbt/](http://www.nature.com/nbt/journal/vaop/ncurrent/abs/nbt.2491.html#supplementary-information) [journal/vaop/ncurrent/abs/nbt.2491.html#supplementary](http://www.nature.com/nbt/journal/vaop/ncurrent/abs/nbt.2491.html#supplementary-information)[information](http://www.nature.com/nbt/journal/vaop/ncurrent/abs/nbt.2491.html#supplementary-information)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>Vernoud V, Journet EP, Barker DG (1999) MtENOD20, a nod factorinducible molecular marker for root cortical cell activation. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 12:604–614
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>Winter P, Pfaff T, Udupa SM, Hüttel B, Sharma PC, Sahi S, Arreguin-Espinoza R, Weigand F, Muehlbauer FJ, Kahl G (1999) Characterization and mapping of sequence-tagged microsatellite sites in the chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genome. Mol Gen Genet 262:90–101. doi[:10.1007/s004380051063](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s004380051063)
- <span id="page-7-26"></span>Zuckercandl E, Pauling L (1965) Evolutionary divergence and convergence in proteins. In: Bryson V, Vogel HJ (eds) Evolving genes and proteins. Academic Press, USA, pp 97–165 (article-id:2345322)